

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,154		07/16/2002	Franz-Josef Adams	SWR-0080	2913
23413	7590	02/03/2004		EXAMINER	
CANTOR (			DUNWOODY, AARON M		
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-			3679	

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•							
Office Action Summany	10/088,154	ADAMS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Aaron M Dunwoody						
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover si	heet with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comming the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3).  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stermal to reply within the set or extended period for reply.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimulatutory period will apply and will expire SIX will, by statute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>07 November 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2	b) This action is non-final.	This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-17</u> is/ar 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1,8,11,12,14 and 17</u> is/are 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>13,15 and 16</u> is/are objected	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1.8 and 11-17 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-17 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>☒ Claim(s) 1.8.11.12.14 and 17 is/are rejected.</li> <li>☒ Claim(s) 13.15 and 16 is/are objected to.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers	,						
	a) accepted or b) objection to the drawing(s) be held in the correction is required if the d	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner, Note the a	tached Office Action of form PTO-152.					
application from the Internatio  * See the attached detailed Office actio 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for since a specific reference was included 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign land 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the foreign for the	documents have been received documents have been received of the priority documents have nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) in for a list of the certified copie or domestic priority under 35 to d in the first sentence of the sentence of the sentence provisional application or domestic priority under 35 to domes	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this National Stage  i). es not received. J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) pecification or in an Application Data Sheet.  has been received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 1	TO-948) 5) No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:					

Art Unit: 3679

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed 4/22/2002 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

## Claim Objections

Claims 1, 8 and 17 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claims 1, 8 and 17, the phrase "window-type" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "window-type"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent 3935717, Welschof.

In regards to claim 1, Welschof discloses a ball cage comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/088,154

Art Unit: 3679

a ball cage blank (16) configured as a substantially annular spherical segment and having at least some functional zones (46) that are elevated as compared to an adjacent surface (any convenient surface) of the ball cage blank; and

window ball pockets (24) formed in the ball cage blank and located along an equator (any convenient equator) with substantially equator-parallel bearing surfaces that interact with joint balls (18),

wherein the functional zones are located at least on outer ring edge areas and interact with the joint bell (as a complete homokinetic joint)

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent 3815381, Wagner.

In regards to claim 1, Wagner discloses a ball cage (30) comprising:

a ball cage blank (30) configured as a substantially annular spherical segment and
having at least some functional zones (42c) that are elevated as compared to an
adjacent surface (any convenient surface) of the ball cage blank; and

window ball pockets (44) formed in the ball cage blank and located along an equator (any convenient equator) with substantially equator-parallel bearing surfaces that interact with joint balls (32),

wherein the functional zones are located at least on outer ring edge areas and interact with the joint bell (as a complete homokinetic joint).

Application/Control Number: 10/088,154

Art Unit: 3679

Claims 11, 14 and 17are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent 5410902, Jacob.

In regards to claim 11, Jacob discloses a method of producing a ball cage, the method comprising:

machining a functional area (19a) of a ball blank with an uninterrupted cut, wherein before the machining (col. 5, lines 1-36), the functional area is elevated as compared to an adjacent surface of the ball cage blank.

In regards to claim 14, Jacob discloses after machining, the functional area is elevated compared to the adjacent surface.

In regards to claim 17, Jacob discloses stamping window ball pockets.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welschof.

In regards to claim 8, Welschof discloses the claimed invention except for the window-type pockets having such dimensions that the surfaces, on which the equator-parallel functional zones are designed, being longer than these. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate the window-type pockets with such dimensions that the surfaces, on which the equator-parallel functional zones are designed, being longer than these, since a change

Art Unit: 3679

in the shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacob in view of US patent 6161414, Hainz.

In regards to claim 12, Hainz discloses the claimed invention except for hardening the ball cage blank before the machining of the functional area. Hainz teaches hardening the ball cage blank before the machining of the functional area (col. 1, lines26-32). As Hainz relates to a method for finishing a cage windows in a ball cage, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to hardening the ball cage blank before the machining of the functional area, since it was known in the art that ball cages are hardened prior to being ground.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13, 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record does not disclose a method for producing a ball cage comprising machining the functional area by hard-turning; nor, after machining, the functional area being at the same level as an adjacent surface; nor, forming the ball cage blank by rolling processing.

Art Unit: 3679

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/7/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues:

The claim requires that the ball cage blank has at least some functional zones that are elevated. There is nothing in either Wagner or Welschof that teaches or suggests that ball cage blank has such elevated functional zones.

The Examiner disagrees. Wagner discloses a ball cage blank having elevated functional zones 46, and Welschof discloses a ball cage blank having elevated functional zones 42c; therefore, both Wagner and Welschof meet the claimed limitation.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron M Dunwoody whose telephone number is (703)

Application/Control Number: 10/088,154 Page 7

Art Unit: 3679

306-3436. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday between 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

.amd

Lynne H. Browne
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3670